Comparative hepatoprotective activity of Liv-52 and livomyn against carbon tetrachloride-induced hepatic injury in rats
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INTRODUCTION
Liver plays a major role in the detoxification and excretion of many endogenous and exogenous compounds; any type of injury (due to systemic drugs, food preservatives, agrochemicals and addiction to alcohol) or impairments of its functions may lead to many complications in one's health. There is no rational therapy available for liver disorder, and it is a still challenge to modern medicine.[1] Hepatic injury can be life threatening when the entire or most of the liver is exposed to any hepatotoxin, including \( \text{CCL}_4 \). Carbon tetrachloride is used to study hepatotoxic potential because it is life threatening when an entire liver or most of the liver is exposed to \( \text{CCL}_4 \); this requires metabolic activation, particularly by liver cytochrome P-450 enzyme, to form reactive toxic metabolites that in turn cause liver injury in experimental animals and humans.[2] Hepatotoxicity by \( \text{CCL}_4 \) is connected with severe impairment of the cell protection mechanism. The location of liver is defined mainly by the biotransformation of \( \text{CCL}_4 \) which is cytochrome P-450-dependent. Free radical initiates the process of lipid peroxidation, which generally lead to the inhibition of enzyme activity.[3-4] Nowadays, there are different marketed formulations available for treating liver diseases, such as Liv-42, Liver cure, Livol, Hepatomed, Jigrine, Tefrol, Stimuliv, Liv-52, Livfit, Livomyn, Silybon, and Livogen.

Liv-52 is an indigenous multiherbal hepatotonic that has been widely used as a hepatoprotective agent in various liver disorders[4-7] and moreover, it has shown protective effects in hepatotoxicity induced by radiations. The oral administration of Liv-52 to experimental animals has been reported to provide considerable protection against liver damage by carbon tetrachloride (\( \text{CCL}_4 \)).[8] Hepatoprotective and anti-inflammatory effects of some of the individual ingredients of both formulations such as Liv-52 and Livomyn are also reported in literature.[1,9-11]

The present study was conducted to compare the hepatoprotective activity of two marketed formulations, Liv-52 and Livomyn, against \( \text{CCL}_4 \)-induced hepatotoxicity in rats.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Animals
Wister strain male albino rats having a weight range of 150-180 g were used for the experiment. The animals were well housed in polypropylene cages under hygiene conditions and maintained at 28 ± 2°C temperature. The animals were allowed to have food and water ad libitum. The Institutional Animals Ethics Committee approved all the experimental protocols.

Chemicals and Drugs
Liv-52 syrup (The Himalaya Drug Company)) and Livomyn suspension (Charak Pharma Ltd) were used to evaluate the
Experimental Design
Animals were divided into five groups (n = 6). Considering human dose (HD) of Liv-52 (HD-15 ml daily) and Livomyn (HD-12 ml daily), the rat dose was calculated on the basis of the surface area ratio (Ghosh MN, 2005).

Group I: Normal control vehicle i.e. distilled water,
Group II: CCl₄ (1 ml/kg, i.p.)
Group III: Silymarin (25 mg/kg, p.o.)
Group IV: Liv-52 (0.216 ml/kg, p.o)
Group V: Livomyn (0.216 ml/kg, p.o.)

Treatment duration was 10 days, and the dose of CCl₄ was administered after every 72 h. Animals were Sacrificed after 24 hrs of the last injection of CCl₄. Blood was collected, allowed to clot and the serum is separated. The liver was dissected out and used for biochemical and histopathological studies.

Biochemical Estimation
The blood was obtained from all the animals by puncturing the retro-orbital plexus. The blood samples were allowed to clot for 45 min at room temperature. Serum was separated by centrifugation at 2500 rpm for 15 min at 30°C and utilized for the estimation of various biochemical parameters, namely, SGOT, SGPT, Total Protein (Beacon diagnostic kit, Navsari) and Bilirubin (Biolab diagnostic kit, Maharashatra).

Histopathological Examination
All animals were sacrificed on the last day of the study; blood was collected and liver was removed and washed with saline. Liver pieces were preserved in 10% formalin for histopathological study. The sections were approximately 4-6 micron in thickness. They were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and photographed.

Statistical Analysis
The data were expressed as mean ± S.D.; for obtaining this data, biochemical and physiological parameters were statistically analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnet’s test. For comparison with the control group and CCl₄-treated group, P < 0.001 was considered as significant.

RESULTS

The results of CCl₄-induced hepatotoxicity are shown in Table 1. CCl₄ intoxication in normal rats significantly elevated the serum levels of SGOT, SGPT, bilirubin (total and direct) and total proteins, whereas there was a significant decrease in level of total proteins that indicated acute hepatocellular damage and biliary obstruction. The rats treated with Liv-52 and Livomyn showed a significant reduction in all biochemical parameters, whereas increase in the level of total proteins was observed in comparison to that of the standard group (silymarin-treated).

In the histopathological examination of liver sections of control group [Fig. 1], the central vein was prominent with normal hepatocytes. In the CCl₄-intoxicated group [Fig. 2], centrilobular necrosis was observed. In the histopathological profile of silymarin-treated groups [Fig. 3], there was no centrilobular necrosis and hepatocytes showing regenerating activity. In the groups treated with the formulations (Liv-52 [Fig. 4] and Livomyn) [Fig. 5], the section showed liver tissue hepatocytes with normal hepatocytes. In the CCl₄-intoxicated group, the central vein was prominent with normal hepatocytes. In the CCl₄-intoxicated group, the central vein was prominent with normal hepatocytes. In the CCl₄-intoxicated group, the central vein was prominent with normal hepatocytes.

DISCUSSION

In this study, rats treated with a single dose of CCl₄ developed significant hepatic damage and oxidative stress, which was observed from a substantial increase in the activities of serum, SGOT, SGPT, total protein and bilirubin. This is indicative of cellular leakage and loss of the functional integrity of the cell membrane in liver.

CCl₄ is one of the most commonly used hepatotoxins.
in experimental study of liver disease.\textsuperscript{[17]} The lipid peroxidative degradation of biomembrane is one of the principle causes of hepatotoxicity of CCl\textsubscript{4}.\textsuperscript{[18,19]} This is evident from an elevation in the serum marker analysis, namely AST, ALT, total proteins and bilirubin. The formulations significantly reduced this serum enzyme in groups. The simultaneous administration of formulations and CCl\textsubscript{4} produced significant recovery of the liver damage induced by CCl\textsubscript{4}. The hepatotoxic effect of CCl\textsubscript{4} is largely due to its active metabolite trichloromethyl radical\textsuperscript{[20]} that binds to the macromolecule and induces peroxidative degradation of the membrane lipids of endoplasmic reticulum that is rich in polyunsaturated fatty acids. This leads to the formation of lipid peroxide, which in turn produces a toxic aldehyde that causes damage to liver.\textsuperscript{[21]} This was evident by an increase in the level of lipid peroxidation in the CCl\textsubscript{4} group and there was a significant decrease in lipid peroxidation in the groups treated with CCl\textsubscript{4} and formulations.\textsuperscript{[22]}

The comparative histopathological study of the liver from different groups of rats corroborated the hepatoprotective efficacy of formulations. Various pathological changes
such as steatosis, centrilobular necrosis and vacuolization observed in group II rats were prevented to a moderate extent in groups III, IV and V. All the effects of formulations were comparable with Silymarin as a positive control.

The biochemical studies in albino rats revealed that CCl₄-induced hepatic injury was inhibited significantly ($P < 0.001$) by Liv-52 and Livomyn. All the results can be compared with the standard drug silymarin. The above results also state that Liv-52 has shown significant hepatoprotective activity in comparison to Livomyn. In support, the histopathological reports also revealed that there is a regenerative activity in the liver cells.

**CONCLUSION**

Overall, the results of the present study indicate that Liv-52 and Livomyn demonstrated a significant hepatoprotective activity against CCl₄-induced hepatotoxicity in rats. Moreover, Liv-52 has shown significant hepatoprotective activity in comparison to Livomyn.
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